Outlook

[redacted]

RE: Please share Re: [Official-Sensitive] : RE: "WHY REFURBISHMENT IS BETTER: LENZIE ACADEMY "

From [redacted]
Date Tue 01/09/2026 13:12
To [redacted]

Hi Validation,

Please can you record it as a representation from [redacted]

Kind Regards,

[redacted]

[redacted]

sustainable thriving achieving
East Dunbartonshire Council
www.eastdunbarton.gov.uk

[redacted]NZIE

From: [redacted]
Sent: 01 September 2026 10:20

To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: FW: Please share Re: [Official-Sensitive] : RE: "WHY REFURBISHMENT IS BETTER: LENZIE ACADEMY "

Hi

Please register this one as a representation.

Thanks

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

sustainable thriving achieving
East Dunbartonshire Council
www.eastdunbarton.gov.uk

Please Note: My work day may be different from yours. Please don't feel obligated to reply outwith your normal working day.

Certified Carbon Literate

www.carbonliteracy.com

From: [redacted]
Sent: 31 August 2026 16:00
To: [redacted]
Subject: FW: Please share Re: [Official-Sensitive] : RE: "WHY REFURBISHMENT IS BETTER: LENZIE ACADEMY "

[redacted]

FYI. I have copied this only to yourselves. I will leave it with you to determine if it should be shared with the case officer and/or Alan's team.

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: 31 August 2026 15:55
To: [redacted]
Subject: Please share Re: [Official-Sensitive] : RE: "WHY REFURBISHMENT IS BETTER: LENZIE ACADEMY "

[redacted]

To clarify , please share the document re a refurb with officers, I realise I omitted to make that clear.

[redacted]

On 31 Aug 2026, at 13:17 [redacted] wrote:

Dear [redacted]

Thank you for your prompt reply, which is very much appreciated.

I fully understand the constraints regarding your regulatory role on the Planning Board,

It is regrettable that in 2022 the officers did not use an objective scoring matrix of the kind the Treasury Green Book, the Scottish Public Finance Manual recommend.

Had this been done, and the public consulted, I am certain that the elected members would have come to a very different conclusion.

(You have seen how much expert analysis that [redacted] has been able to provide.)

I appreciate your time and hope this context is helpful as the Council considers what to do.

[redacted]s very much a fan of a “deep retrofit” and he has persuaded me that it is the best way forward for a whole host of reasons, not least a “new hall for Lenzie”.

But whether it is a retrofit or a tandem build that is the best solution I think it is now abundantly clear that WGP is the wrong site.

As you will be aware from recent emails, I think that there are fatal contradictions in the drainage / contamination / environmental legislation nexus that mean it can *never* be built and you know [redacted] views on the ruinous cost of building on peat.

We both await with interest the latest costings following the request for more funding due to “site abnormals”.

What I would ask, is that you intervene and ask the officers to meet with us to discuss the “drainage / contamination / environmental legislation nexus” referred to above.

It seems perverse to be now refusing gratis advice from the public when there been multiple documented instances of fundamental and possibly fatal errors having been spotted by members of the public that have been missed by the contractors or EDC officials.

[redacted]

On 31 Aug 2026, at 12:05, [redacted]

Good afternoon, [redacted]

Thanks for your emails of 18th and 23nd August and the comments are noted.

I would add that if you intend any correspondence to be considered as a planning representation, it should be forwarded directly to the planning service, or if you wish me to share it with officers, please let me know.

As you are aware, all East Dunbartonshire councillors serve on the Planning Board, and, as this is a regulatory process, are constrained in terms of expressing an opinion or discussing the merits of an application or prospective application prior to consideration at the Board, as that would be likely to prejudice their ability to take part in the determination of the application at that stage.

I will of course carefully consider the case officer's report and all material planning matters in reaching a decision as and when an application comes before the Board.

Regards,

[redacted]

From [redacted]
Sent: 23 August 2026 12:21
To: [redacted]
Subject: "WHY REFURBISHMENT IS BETTER: LENZIE ACADEMY "

Dear [redacted]

I respectfully enclose this document for your attention,
Refurbishment : "An Alternative to a "Whitegates Relocation" or a "Myrtle

Avenue Tandem Build"

[redacted]

DISCLAIMER:

This email and any files transmitted with it are intended for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. It may contain information of a confidential or privileged nature.

If you have received this email in error please notify the originator of the message and destroy the e-mail.

East Dunbartonshire Council can not be held responsible for viruses, therefore please scan all attachments.

Any personal data contained in email communications with East Dunbartonshire Council will be processed in accordance with the UK General Data Protection Regulations ("GDPR") and all other relevant data protection laws.

Further information detailing how East Dunbartonshire holds and uses personal information and copies of privacy notices used throughout the Council are available on our website at: https://www.eastdunbarton.gov.uk/council/privacy-notices

The Council's Data Protection Officer can be contacted at DPO@eastdunbarton.gov.uk or on Tel: 0300 123 4510.

The views expressed in this message are those of the sender and do not necessarily reflect those of East Dunbartonshire Council who will not necessarily be bound by its contents.