Planning

From: [redacted]
Sent: 28 August 2026 11:43
To: [redacted]
Cc:
Subject: TP/ED/26/0104 | Objections 749 to 762 , via Biodiversity Dept.
Attachments: Biodiversity objections 2 8 aug 26.xlsx

Dear Mr Mcnair,

I am writing to formally submit the attached objections , (numbers 749 to 762), regarding planning application TP/ED/26/0104 and object to it.

We recently met with the EDC Biodiversity team who affirmed their objections to the application.

We have finally managed to incorporate their evidence into formal objections.

They explicitly confirmed it "goes against multiple policies... **including Local Development Plan and NPF4."**

Fr example, they highlighted that EDC's own data proves the project will nearly triple the public park deficit in Lenzie (violating NPF4 Policy 20), critically impact biodiversity through a 60-77% wipeout of native woodland and fen, and (quite incredibly), proposes the planting of an illegal invasive species (Rosa rugosa) under Schedule 9 of the Wildlife & Countryside Act 1981.

I think it is legally inconceivable that this application could be recommended for approval given the devastating internal objections from the Council's own statutory experts.

As per previous objections , the evidence contained within this document forms the foundational basis for a Petition for Judicial Review at the Court of Session, should this application be recommended for approval without these fatal procedural and environmental defects being lawfully resolved. As you will be aware, under the *Tameside Duty of Inquiry*, the Planning Authority is legally obligated to take reasonable steps to inform itself of these known, documented risks prior to determination.

As customary it has been cc'd to [redacted]

Could you please confirm receipt of this email and ensure that the attached consolidated objection is uploaded to the public planning portal and formally registered?

Yours etc

[redacted]

[redacted]