Planning

From: [redacted]
Sent: 27 August 2026 22:08
To: [redacted]
Cc:
Subject: Further objections | 695 to 748
Attachments: WGP objections 27 aug 26 to F MCnair 695 to748.xlsx

Dear Mr Mcnair,

I am writing to formally submit the attached objections , (numbers 695 to 748), regarding planning application TP/ED/26/0104.

I know that you are managing a highly complex application,and navigating a vast volume of public correspondence, **but I want to highlight the importance of some of these objections** that are derived from new foi's, recent emails with EDC officials and "old" correspondence from EDC that has been brought to my attention by members of the public.

I appreciate that processing this volume of dense technical and legal information is demanding and I really do not envy your task.

However, it is vital that these specific points are given your "anxious scrutiny", as per previous correspondence. and are explicitly evaluated in your final Report of Handling.

Please be advised that this consolidated submission , and all previous submissions, have been compiled to formally preserve a legal position.

The evidence contained within this tracker forms the foundational basis for a Petition for Judicial Review at the Court of Session, should this application be recommended for approval without these fatal procedural and environmental defects being lawfully resolved. As you will be aware, under the *Tameside Duty of Inquiry*, the Planning Authority is legally obligated to take reasonable steps to inform itself of these known, documented risks prior to determination.

As customary it has been cc'd to [redacted]

Could you please confirm receipt of this email and ensure that the attached consolidated objection is uploaded to the public planning portal and formally registered?

Yours etc

[redacted]